

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78026
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SHUKRA SARA PURUSHA WITH QUALITY OF SEMEN: AN PILOT STUDY
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The results of the three categories stated as Uttam, Madhyama, and Hina doesn't match standard WHO Criteria sixth edition 2021 for semen analysis as even Hina category individuals shows normal semen parameters according to the WHO Criteria Hence, such individuals cannot be categorized as having abnormal semen parameters	
Minor REVISION comments	Few spelling errors	
Optional/General comments	Description of <i>Dhatu sarata</i> available in Ayurvedic texts is subjective. Objective data is more acceptable rather than descriptive one for statistical analysis. A larger data is required to co relate Shukra Sara Purusha with semen analysis.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manali Vinod Daga
Department, University & Country	Nowrosjee Wadia Maternity Hospital, India