

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78022
Title of the Manuscript:	A Functional and Radiological outcome analysis of Hip Forage procedure, done for early stages(Ficat and Arlet Grade 1 and 2A) of Avascular Necrosis of Head of Femur
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>Abstract section: Please avoid repeating. One sentence is enough for the purpose. Please rearrange the subheadings of the abstract section according to the journal's requirements. Please use small letter 'Preoperative' Severe pain is more appropriate than marked pain. Please mention that how you did the classification of the severeness of the limp. Please rearrange the keywords according to the medical subheadings and alphabetical order.</p> <p>Introduction section: No need for number like '1. Introduction and 2. Methods etc.' Please first write the full version of the abbreviation ANFH. Please write the reference number of Phemister. You should write Jones et al. instead of <i>Jones CL and colleagues</i>. caisson disease should be started with capital letter. ANFH and ONFH are same, so you may use one of them. The spelling of the reference number '7' is incorrect. Please first write the full version of the abbreviation THR. The place of the last sentence of the introduction section is not here, it is the conclusion section.</p> <p>Methods section: Please write the approval number if it is applicable. There are many unnecessary usages of 'the' in this section. Please rewrite the sentence 'Then MRI hip was done after the initial six months' period post-operatively.' Abduction, Flexion, Internal rotation and Ability must start with small letter. Please write 'Vastus lateralis split to expose femur'. Please write fibular instead of femoral strut graft in the paragraph above the fig. 2.</p> <p>Results section: Please write analyse instead of analyze. Table 1: This is subject number=N, not 'no'. Were there also no subjects with more than one risk factor? Do not repeat the data given as a table, in the text. No need for this subheading 'mean age'. No need for gender figure. Please just write this data in the text. Fig. 4 : Indicate how you classify the severity of pain in the methods section. Fig. 5 : Indicate how you classify the severity of limp in the methods section. Please write the exact p values. Information about the scoring method HHS should be given in the methods section. Please write information about Ficat Arlet staging in the methods section.</p> <p>Discussion section: Please delete all abbreviations of the names of authors that you mentioned with et al. For example Shah et al., not Shah SN et al. Do not write 'reported' in italic at the sentence started with ' Kim et al.' The discussion section of the study should include the strengths and weaknesses of the current study. In addition, the findings of the current study should be compared with the literature in this section.</p> <p>References section: All reference writings, especially numbering, should be rearranged according to the writing rules of the journal.</p>	

Comment [ii1]: You should write Jones et al.

Comment [ii2]: Please rewrite this sentence

Comment [ii3]: split

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Ethics committee approval is very important as it is a prospective study. Please indicate the institution giving the ethics committee approval and the approval reference number in the text.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ker Ilhanli</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Ondokuz Mayıs University, Turkey</i>