

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78012
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISON OF HAEMOGLOBIN, RDW, RBC VALUES AMONG DIABETES MELLITUS AND NORMAL INDIVIDUALS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author said that the result of RBC Values biased caused by the male population in the diabetes mellitus group was higher than the females, but there was no data in the article. The RBC values of men and women with and without Diabetic must be added in the article	
Minor REVISION comments	The statistics analyzed of the independent T-Test should be placed on the bottom of each data, so people will directly read how the result.	
Optional/General comments	The article briefly clear	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Herlina Pratiwi
Department, University & Country	Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia