

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78004
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY TO EVALUATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF STRUCTURED TEACHING PROGRAMME ON NON PHARMACOLOGICAL MANAGEMENT OF PAIN DURING FIRST STAGE OF LABOUR AMONG STUDENT NURSES IN SELECTED COLLEGE OF NURSING, HOSHANGABAD
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- In paragraph citation of the reference is not the same along introduction (first they write the name of authors then they write references in numerical way), they should unity the way of in paragraph citation of the reference. 2- They make stress on birth ball at the end of introduction. They should talk about non-pharmacological methods of labor pain management in general. 3- Write the formula of sample size calculation. 4- Write the procedure of data collection in more details explaining what you do exactly to collect data. 5- Review the percentage of results as it is not correct (ex. The total percentage of students regarding age, previous knowledge, post-test, = 99.9% not 100%). 6- The results are repeated once in table form and again in figure form. 7- The discussion is very weak as it is not supported by previous studies. 8- Short listed references. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Consisted of is repeated in description of the tool. 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Samah Nasser Abd El Aziz El Shora</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Mansoura University, Egypt</i>