

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78004
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY TO EVALUATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF STRUCTURED TEACHING PROGRAMME ON NON PHARMACOLOGICAL MANAGEMENT OF PAIN DURING FIRST STAGE OF LABOUR AMONG STUDENT NURSES IN SELECTED COLLEGE OF NURSING, HOSHANGABAD
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The scoring system should be revised, where the maximum score was 30, while the total items were 40.</p> <p>The result section include repeated data , table one and the figures have the same information.</p> <p>Also the statistical significance was not showed in the result section by either fig. or table.</p> <p>The demographic section did not utilized for rationalizing the study results , or even for finding correlation between this section and knowledge levels.</p> <p>The Structured teaching programmer, the study did not mention its component objectives.</p> <p>The methodology did not mention how the researchers meet the participants, number of sessions, teaching methods and aids used in the program.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The discussion of results was supported by only one somewhat related study.</p> <p>Reference section did not include updated references</p> <p>Title of the study is too long</p> <p>The paper require more focused editing</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Heba Kamal Obied</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Tanta University, Egypt</i>