

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77984
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Chemosensitizing effect of Neferine on Cisplatin Resistant Colorectal Cancer Cells through Molecular Docking Studies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The acronyms are used in this manuscript it should be written in the beginning of paper, then, later it should be written only the acronyms like IGF-1R, FGFR2, SNAIL1, STAT3, TGFβR1 2- Some of acronyms not clear like DMSO, RMSD, NRF2, MDR, HCT – 15, IC50, PI3K/AKT and MAPK, SMAD, SNAIL1, FOXO3, GSK3β and ABCG2 3- Some of acronyms like signal transduction protein STAT3 it should be put between bracket () 4- In result and discussion, paragraph one and two it should be either removed or added to introduction 5- Paragraph 3.2 The cytotoxic effect of neferine on cisplatin-sensitive and resistant CRCs better is to remove 6- In result, discussion and conclusion you should separate effect of neferine against <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a- cell surface receptor proteins b- MDR proteins c- signal transduction protein d- and transcription factors 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahdi Ali abdullah
Department, University & Country	The Presidency of the University of Dohuk, Iraq