

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77978
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISON OF THE HYPOTENSION IN LATERAL VERSES SITTING POSITIONS DURING INDUCTION OF SPINAL ANAESTHESIA FOR CAESAREAN SECTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: well structured and written.</p> <p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The authors should Include anesthetic hypotension and pregnancy as a problem and its impact as a research problem. - The authors should include as an introduction the pharmacology of the anesthetic in pregnancy. - The authors should include statistical data of frequencies and rates of anesthetic hypotension in pregnant patients <p>Material and methods: well structured and written.</p> <p>Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The authors should relate the pharmacological (pharmacodynamic) and pathophysiological mechanisms that explain the findings. - The authors should relate the physiology of pregnancy with the findings. - The authors should name the confounding factors. - The authors should mention study limitations. <p>Conclusions: Expand the conclusions, since it is scarce.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Review table 2; I consider it can be omitted, since the significance data can be placed in the text.	
Optional/General comments	The article deals with a topic that has publication interest and is competent to publish.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juan Miguel Alemán-Iñiguez
Department, University & Country	Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Ecuador