

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77952
Title of the Manuscript:	Preparation of ethanolic extract of cassia auriculata and its anti-diabetic activity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Discussion should be elaborated by comparing the results of the present study with some recent research works in the similar field. (Highlighted portion no.6)2. In both Figure 1 & 2, graph is plotted against concentration vs percentage of inhibition, but the unit of concentration can never be in μL, it represents volume. The unit of concentration should be $\mu\text{g/L}$ or $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{L}$ etc. (Please see highlighted portion no. 4 & 5)	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Author should be careful about Scientific names. All names should be in italics and put capital letters in the genus name (Please see the highlighted portion no. 1 & 6).2. Author should put appropriate superscripts and subscripts in the chemical formula as highlighted in no. 2.3. Amount can not be written in μL, it should be 'volume'. (please see highlighted portion no. 3)	
Optional/General comments	Overall content is good, but the Author has done lots of careless mistakes. The Author is advised to rectify all those mistakes and resubmit the paper.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sandip Bandopadhyay
Department, University & Country	Bidhannagar College, India