

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77892
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Remote simulation amongst EMS professionals in Pune, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The original article sent was inadequate in addressing the issue/topic at hand (Major issue, I think this is not the entire work)2. The abstract must be well structured for easy reading. It must follow the logical sequence of stating abstracts. As it stands, the abstract lacks preciseness in terms of spelling out, the focus and rationale for understanding the study3. Intext citations must follow the accepted format4. Under results and discussion, the results as well as the discussions are inadequate (one table and one chart/figure) this does not adequately address the topic, hence it must be reviewed to reflect the requirements of the topic under study5. The scores presented in the table are merely frequencies a simple percentage6. The entire study needs to be reviewed to meet the requirement of the topic7. In general, the research title is appropriate but does not reflect the content of the study	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ninette Afi Appiah</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Akenten Appiah-Menka University Of Skills Training And Entrepreneurial Development, Ghana</i>