

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77887
Title of the Manuscript:	Neuroimaging Assessment of Aggressive Pituitary Adenomas
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title should be The correlation of neuroimaging data with the hormonal activity of APA/ Neuroimaging Assessment of Aggressive Pituitary Adenomas and it's correlation with the hormonal activity of APA 2. How aggressive tumor were selected? On what clinical/biochemical or other parameter should have been elaborated or shown in a table. 3. Inclusion and exclusion criteria should have been mentioned 4. Hormones like FT4,TSH, LH,FSH,ACTH and electrolyte should have been done as those could be gonadotropinoma, thyrotropinoma or secondary hypothyroidism/hypogonadism which reflect the pituitary destruction by aggressive tumor 5. All sellar lesion are not pituitary macroadenoma ,so were such lesion like craniopharyngioma, meningioma,optic nerve glioma meticulously excluded? 6. Discussion should be more in line with the study results. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Thus, the level of hormonal activity and the volume of adenoma cannot be predictors of aggressive behavior of adenoma.</p> <p>There are certain comments like the above one which are contradictory to established facts, Definitely high hormonal activity will lead to more aggressive behaviour</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The proof needs to be revised by a native English author Many sentences are full of ambiguity and lacks clarity. I have highlighted the text that needs correction.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Was permission taken from local ethical review committee and the subjects, what is the trial ID	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sharmin Jahan
Department, University & Country	BSMMU, Bangladesh