

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77887
Title of the Manuscript:	Neuroimaging Assessment of Aggressive Pituitary Adenomas
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I expect the Conclusion to be 2 paragraphs. The writers should summarize all the clinical data compiled in this article in the conclusion. As it stands the conclusion is feeble. A much stronger conclusion is needed to merit publication. Writers should consider introducing MRIs/ CT scan of Pituitary adenomas to make the reading more interesting and to bring Chronological meaning to readers that are non-scientist.	
Minor REVISION comments	Include MRIs/ CT scan of Pituitary adenomas. The reason is that, some readers don't have science background, and the Radiographs will bring out the meaning to such readers.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dennis Adjepong
Department, University & Country	Poznan University of Medical Science, United States of America