

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77879
Title of the Manuscript:	Antiproliferative efficacy of Centella asiatica aqueous leaf extract on human lung cancer cell line.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Dear Editor, I have reviewed the document and I was primarily concerned that the manuscript lacks novelty and did not address several important issues as noted in the comments below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- What is the novelty of this work? 2- Abstract does not need to be referenced. Please delete it. 3- In methods: You should add the preparation of Centella asiatica (CA) aqueous extract. 4- In discussion: You should discuss in more details about results of this review and compare them with the results of published studies. 5- Centella asiatica should be written thoroughly "Italic". 6- Type very small letters just above the line of text. For example 1×10^5 cells per ml 7- Please include the limitations of the study in the discussion. 8- The quality of the figure could have better resolution. 9- Please re-check references. 10- Generally, the writing should be polished to improve the readability. Many grammar errors appeared in the manuscripts. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Omid Raiesi
Department, University & Country	Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Iran