

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77869
Title of the Manuscript:	CYTOTOXIC EFFECT OF Lippia nodiflora LEAF EXTRACT AGAINST THE PROSTATE CANCER CELL LINES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	All comments are present in the manuscript file in review mode. The key point is that the experiments in this work lack control, which cannot be considered the tumor line not treated with the extract. In these works it is essential to have the cytotoxicity data on a healthy cell line, to have a selectivity index data, and it is also necessary to report the data of a standard control substance. Lack of real control is a reason in the scientific community of Major Revisions or Refusal of work. Furthermore, the introduction needs to be extended.	
Minor REVISION comments	All comments are present in the manuscript file in review mode. In general, I suggest the authors to pay attention to the units of measurement and above all to write the name of the plant in italics correctly and uniformly throughout the manuscript. English needs to be revised.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anna Baldisserotto
Department, University & Country	University of Ferrara, Italy