

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77822
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF TERPENOID PROFILE IN DRY CANNABIS FLOWERS AND EXTRACTS OBTAINED FROM DIFFERENT CANNABIS VARIETES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Abstract should be rephrased. Aims and methodology is not clear.• Formatting issues are there throughout the txt. Please provide PDF file in future.• Botanical name should be written in Italic font.• Dry flower and cannabis extracts are two different things. If extraction is done please elaborate the procedure of extraction in detail.• How can you be sure about the synergistic effect of cannabinoids with terpene without any animal study?• Chromatograms are of HPLC or GCMS? Mention it• If MS was performed where are mass to charge ratio data?• How screening of terpene from the whole plant extract was done?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Over all the manuscript needs major revision. It is not clear what was being compared with which standard. Mention the plant species or area where the plant was taken. Then properly mention the extraction procedure, mobile phase used for chromatographic separation. Screening procedure, determination or identification of terpene by any test etc</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Muhammad Azeem</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Hamdard University Islamabad Campus, Pakistan</i>