

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77820
Title of the Manuscript:	Research protocol of NDT- Bobath and Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation Vs Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation alone, to Improve Trunk Control, Balance, and Gait Parameters in Post-Stroke Patients – A Randomized Clinical Trial
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory REVISION</u> comments	<p>It's a very good study. But general comment for introduction- if mainly – only the work in the previous studies can be mentioned rather than mentioning the author et al., repeatedly. Also the sentences can be used in present or past tense uniformly....like 'was', 'is' , 'will' etc ' To maintain uniformity</p>	
<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Writing may need to be modified in these lines Introduction 2nd paragraph.....can be written in better way..."Stroke is more prevalent in an urban population ranging from 334-424 out of 100000 due to Risks of lifestyle factors like smoking, low physical activity levels, unhealthy diet as compared to rural population which ranges from 84-262 out of 100000(2)." Intro 5th paragraph---- According to the IBITA(13), The Bobath Expand the abbreviation IBITA 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Grammatical mistakes need to be corrected including avoiding capital letters in the middle-</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Faheem Arshad
Department, University & Country	National Institute Of Mental Health & Neuro Sciences, India