

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77805
Title of the Manuscript:	SCREENING OF ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY FROM MANGROVE PLANTS (RHIZOPHORA MUCRONATA) LEAF ASSOCIATED ACTINOBACTERIUM OF STREPTOMYCES SPECIES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>What is the aim of this paper? When were the leaves collected? What was the amount of leaves used for this study? How many experiments were performed? Were the experiments duplicated? How many duplicates?</p> <p>How was the macerate prepared in the mortar? How much water was added? Was the macerate kept refrigerated? For what period?</p> <p>There are no photos of the discs to prove the antibacterial activity of the extracts</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The paper is written in a careless fashion. Staring with grammar and typing mistakes. What is the aim of this paper? The authors brag about their scientific achievements and self-quotes many papers but the manner this manuscript is written contradicts this fact. In the "Discussion" section is stated that : "A study by G Sahoo..." and at the end of the phrase are enumerated 15 references (37-51) G. Sahoo is only mentioned as reference 37 which is doubled later in the references list by the same quote.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stavarache Carmen
Department, University & Country	Institute of Organic Chemistry, Romania