

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77801
Title of the Manuscript:	PTEROCARPUS SANTA MEDIATED SYNTHESIS OF SELENIUM NANOPARTICLES AND ITS CYTOTOXIC EFFECT.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the conclusion. A more supported statement should be given with the exact and predominant data obtained in percentages and times.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• In paragraph 4 of the introduction you should be clearer in the contribution generated by the research cited in the research studied in the article• There is a writing error in the first paragraph of the results. A day has 24 hours and the nauplii are said to be alive on day 1, and then they are said to be dead at 24 hours. Clarify the result• In paragraph 1 of the DISCUSSION it should be clarified why? SeNPs have the advantage of being cost effective, high throughput in reactions and cost less time.• In the last paragraph of the discussion there is a contradiction. If the main limitation is that it was performed invitro, why are future invitro studies recommended? be clearer with this statement to give a better understanding	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• It is recommended to use a graph or table to relate the time of cytotoxic action in the results.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erika Cortes
Department, University & Country	Universidad Distrital Francisco Jose de Caldas, Colombia