

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77800
Title of the Manuscript:	Scoping review on the symptoms of Apana vayu vaigunya in relation with Atiyana
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Manuscript has to be revised to provide causal relationship between <i>atiyana</i> and <i>apanavayu vaigunya</i> with specific references for each of the symptoms, which is essential as per title. Author has to collect from vast literature of Ayurveda and research to substantiate the aim of the manuscript. Manuscript has to be revised as per author guidelines. Materials and Methods section have to describe extensive list of literature in brief and Methods of search have to be included. References have to be written as per format.	
Minor REVISION comments	Manuscript has to be revised for language corrections like spelling, spacing, sentence formation and selecting appropriate word to express what author meant.	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript needs to explain the importance of the review in present context and substantiate it with detailed critical analysis with specific references, which is lacking.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Giridhar Vedantam
Department, University & Country	KLE Shri B M K Ayurveda Mahavidyalaya, KLE academy of Higher Education & Research, Deemed-to-be-University, India