

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77793
Title of the Manuscript:	GREEN SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERISATION OF MUCUNA PRURIENS MEDIATED TITANIUM DIOXIDE NANOPARTICLES USING TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Entire manuscript must be needed to rewrite in order to reach the readers more effectively. The manuscript is not at all in a proper way. No need to highlighten the past work in the form of Bold representation No need to represent the publications in the discussion part.They must be given in the form of references.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>No need to give space in between In and spite 6th line of introduction must be changed 3rd paragragh ending –replace bold representation by normal 4th para-On examination...not one examination In this paragragh mentioned as "Our team"....just give the references instead of writing about it In the last line 1100 degrees centigrade I think but it is as 1000 8C In UV-vis.....samples was...must be replaced by samples were In TEM.....no need to give references fully in bold format.Just give numbers of references.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Check all the references once in accordance to journal format</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nannapaneni Madhavi
Department, University & Country	JKC College, Acharya Nagarjuna University, India