

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77776
Title of the Manuscript:	RP-HPLC METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION FOR THE ESTIMATION OF LUMEFANTRINE IN BULK DRUG
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Space should be given between the numerical values and units. 2. Fig.1 is missing in the running text. 3. Linearity profile is missing. It should be either in the form of table or calibration curve. 4. Based on the calibration curve only LOD and LOQ can be calculated. 5. Addition of internal standard in the analysis is missing, without that a good analytical method in HPLC is not possible. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rewrite the sentence given under the subheading of Diluents in page number 3. 2. Grammatical mistakes can be rectified throughout the article, especially under the sub heading of Selection of mobile phase. 3. The sub heading "Preparation of different solutions" can be written as "preparation of solutions for linearity". 4. Figure 2 Chromatograms of (A) Blank mobile phase (B) lumefantrine (15µg/ml) as reference substances should be stated as Figure 2 Chromatograms of (A) Blank mobile phase (B) lumefantrine (15µg/ml) as reference substance, and the sentence should be justified. 5. Other system suitability parameters have to be included in the report. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Anton Smith
Department, University & Country	Annamalai University, India