

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77764
Title of the Manuscript:	EMERGING ECHINOCANDIN RESISTANCE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Check redaction. Scientific names are written in italics "e.g. candida glabrata " In the References Section: - Check for duplication of references "26 and 31". - Check for duplication of references "29 and 34". - Check for duplication of references "30 and 39". - Check for duplication of references "28 and 35".	
Minor REVISION comments	- Page 2. Line 6. Check nomenclature. "Trizoles" - Page 2. Line 10. Check redaction. "text in superscript" - Page 2. Line 29. Check redaction. "aminoaciad" - Page 3. Line 38. Check redaction. "ater" - Page 4. Line 10. Check redaction. "implicati0ns" - Page 10. Check type font. "Ref. 61-62"	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Walter José Cuadro Bautista
Department, University & Country	University of Cordoba, Colombia