

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77764
Title of the Manuscript:	EMERGING ECHINOCANDIN RESISTANCE
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Good research work, but:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is essential to add term of REVIEW ARTICLE to your research title to make reader understand your work concept from the beginning. - It is better to include each resistance mechanism simple definition or basic concept about terms of <i>FKS</i>, <i>Biofilms</i>, <i>Adaptive Cellular Factors</i>, etc., and how these mechanisms work by increasing maximum inhibitor concentration. - Your research includes specified types of fungi which included in spectrum of activity, and specified names of antifungal drugs, therefore it is necessary to include these names in each mechanisms to know which fungi responsible on increasing MIC by what mechanism and name of target antifungal drugs which affected (to what range) or not by these resistance mechanisms. <p>Simple corrections were done on your original research copy.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I suggest you to incorporate research simple table with column titles of name of mechanism, name of fungi use this mechanism, name of antifungal drug, treatment failure or not, and range of drug effectiveness in presence of fungi resistance.</p> <p>It is better to rewrite your conclusion to focus on advantages of your target drugs in comparison with other drugs.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Suhair M. Yaseen</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Middle Technical University, Iraq</i>