

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77735
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical and Antimicrobial Screening of Tephrosia calophylla Bedd.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The title must specify the type of extracts • In the abstract: The sentence must begin with a determinant so: The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) with leaf and tuber extracts was 0.312 to 2.50 mg • The MIC is a concentration It is a concentration, but here there is a a weight: 0.312 to 2.50 mg <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not “zone of inhibition” but inhibition zone • anti microbial is a single word • Line 48 : the title must be in bold • one ml of conc. H₂SO₄: what does mean conc ? • There are no references in material and methods section !!!! • Not “Test organisms” but Antimicrobial test • What is MTCC • Sections: “Preparation of the bacterial medium” ans “Preparation of the fungal medium”: It is not necessary to put the preparation of the mediums because they are very known • Line 192: what is this expression: was pipette • Not “organisms” but microorganisms • The table and the figure represent the same result, it is a repetition • explain what do the asterisks represent in the tables • “Now a day’s” is a single word • Line 275: we never use personal pronouns • The discussion is very poor and almost without references • Some references are written in digital system while others in "author, date" system • Some references do not exist in the list 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elkollil Meriem
Department, University & Country	University Of Setif 1, Algeria