

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77716
Title of the Manuscript:	PTEROCARPUS SANTALINUS ETHANOLIC EXTRACT PREPARATION AND ITS FREE RADICAL SCAVENGING ACTIVITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>-In the abstract, the methodology is not explicit. You should present as short as possible the methodology of your work and in the same part you should present some results</p> <p>-In keys words: you should remove (pharmaceutical and traditional medicine) because it does not appear in the title and abstract</p> <p>-You should remove images 1 in your results it is not a scientific result. It can enter in material part</p> <p>-there is lack of statistical analysis in your graphs</p> <p>In general, your various results are not well presented and your discussion is not good. You should clearly present and discuss your results</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>-All scientific names should be written in italic</p> <p>- introduction of the abstract should be changed because it already appeared in general introduction</p> <p>-the degree of the ethanol and the part of the plant should be specified in the document.</p> <p>- you should choose to write either <i>P. santalinus</i> or <i>Pterocarpus santalinus</i> in the text</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>You should choose to write (et al) or (and al)</p>	

Comment [G1]: Should be removed

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yongwa Gilbert
Department, University & Country	University of Ngaoundere, Cameroon