

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77677
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Sacubitril/valsartan in advanced heart failure: is it just a matter of contractility or are there effects on the pulmonary circulation? A real life monocentric experience.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Authors reported Sacubitril/valsartan in advanced heart failure: is it just a matter of contractility or are there effects on the pulmonary circulation? A real life monocentric experience. The manuscript is good innovative and would add more novelty to the literature.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-The authors need to add the novelty of their work with respect to knowledge in the field of this study.</li> <li>-The current study should be explained and compared with the results of similar studies.</li> <li>- I suggest displaying the main results in graphs for ease of clarification.</li> <li>- I suggest expanding the statistical treatment of the results and including more statistical parameters.</li> <li>- All the references should be written according to the type of the journal.</li> <li>- In most places. English must be polished and manuscript should be formatted.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The manuscript is generally interesting and well written. My overall opinion on the text is positive and good enough to be published in the JPRI after minor revision.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Safwan Ashour</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Gaziantep University, Turkey</b>