

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77662
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional Agonists of (PPAR)- γ : An Immunomodulatory approach to control cytokine storm in covid19 patients
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The authors must elaborate the role of PPAR. As we have seen in this manuscript the explanation of PPAR role is too short. 2. There are no explanation in Figure 2 – 8 in the manuscript. 3. There are no references in Result and Discussion part, it is important to put several references in order to compare the author study to other studies. 4. The explanation in Result and Discussion part are too short, the authors must elaborate it. Make sure, compare to other studies in order to show the readers what is the advantage of your article? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The figures should be mentioned in the text. Please check all of them, and ensure citing all of them properly. 2. The author must cite the Figure 1, at least the figure is owned by authors. 3. Similar issue to Table, where the authors have not mentioned in the manuscript. 	
Optional/General comments	Several corrections/comments, I have put on the manuscript.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Abdurrahman Munir
Department, University & Country	Universitas Alma Ata Yogyakarta, Indonesia