

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77649
Title of the Manuscript:	Pillow as a cure for cervicogenic headache
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Title</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title needs to be modified. 2. Kindly frame title such that it is accurate, informative, descriptive, succinct, simple and specific. <p>Abstract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Trial design is not mentioned. 2. Methods section is poorly framed. It has to be re-written. 3. Demographic profile of patients is not mentioned. <p>Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Explain the rationale of the study. Kindly focus on three elements of introduction. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. What is known about the topic? (Background) b. What is not known? (The research problem) c. Why the study was done? (Justification) 2. Objective is not clear as mentioned above. <p>Methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Methods section determines the results. Kindly focus on three basic elements of methods section. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. How the study was designed? b. How the study was carried out? c. How the data were analyzed? d. Components (SPICES) for methods <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Study design, setting, sample size ii. Participant iii. Intervention/issue of interest (exposure) iv. Comparison v. Ethics and end point vi. Statistical analysis <p>Discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The discussion section needs to be described scientifically. Kindly frame it along the following lines: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Main findings of the present study ii. Comparison with other studies iii. Implication and explanation of findings iv. Strengths and limitations v. Conclusion, recommendation and future direction. 2. Explain in short about trial limitations. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marwa Mahmod Abd El Motelb Eid
Department, University & Country	Taif University, Saudi Arabia And Cairo University, Egypt