

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77649
Title of the Manuscript:	Pillow as a cure for cervicogenic headache
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Overall, the idea of research is very interesting to be studied nowadays and paper is coherently developed. However, there are some comments and suggestions. Overall comment: the manuscript needs to be checked for editing and grammar</p> <p>Title</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If the authors used a designed pillow, it is recommended to clarify this in the title <p>Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Replace "introduction" with "background" - In the methods section, you need to clarify the subjects (number of study sample, age, if divided to different groups...) - No need to mention the full description of the designed pillow in abstract. Just write a designed pillow. - Results section: no need to describe the pillow. This section should include analysis of the study variables and interpret your results which type is better statistically. <p>Methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The study sample is not clear. What are the eligibility and exclusion criteria? - Did you divide the sample in to different groups? - The description of pillows should be clarified in this section - What was the schedule to use these pillows? Duration of the sudy. - What are the outcome measures? And what are the instruments used to measure these outcomes? <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The authors should support their work with statistical analysis of the measured variables with comparison of the results to justify which type is more effective <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This section is for interpretation of the study results based on previous studies. No discussion is written <p>What were the limitations of the current study? What are your recommendations for future research?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amira Mahmoud Abd-elmonem
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt