

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77607
Title of the Manuscript:	OUTCOME OF INTERMITTENT VERSUS CONTINUOUS PHOTOTHERAPY IN TREATING NEONATAL JAUNDICE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>TITLE Reframe the title "OUTCOME OF INTERMITTENT VERSUS CONTINUOUS PHOTOTHERAPY IN TREATING NEONATAL JAUNDICE". You can write it as e.g: "A comparative analysis of intermittent and continuous phototherapy in patients with neonatal jaundice "</p> <p>ABSTRACT</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Methodology- Include the ethical approval in the methods section 2. Mention the expansion of abbreviation initially before using it in the script: e.g. Randomized clinical trial (RCT) 3. Mention the statistical software and version used in the methodology section <p>METHODOLOGY</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. What is the reason of exclusion and inclusion of participants with specific criteria as mentioned in the study? Justify 5. Why student's t- test was used for the study? Why other statistical test could not be included for the comparison ?Justify <p>RESULTS</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On what basis have you decided the test results are significant or not? 2. Mention reference for tables as Refer Table No. 1, 2, 3 etc. <p>DISCUSSION</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. While comparing your study in relation with other study mention the author details initially e.g ... similarly ABC et. al showed in their study... <p>CONCLUSION What were the limitations of the study? Mention it in the conclusion. What are the other parameters that would be affected by introduction of intermittent phototherapy for the infants, highlight those</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Place & Duration: Frame the content in a form of sentence 2. Include more specific keywords in the abstract <p>METHODOLOGY</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reframe this sentence: "Blood sample was sent to Liaquat university hospital laboratory and analyzed by lab authorized person working in lab since 3 years". It can be also written as: : Blood sample was sent to Liaquat university hospital laboratory and analyzed by experienced Lab Technician 2. There is no need to mention it in detail: "The important concerns about personal contact information to be leaked was extremely low because the confidentiality of patient was the first priority, the information was shared only for health purposes, in concern with patient health. There was no medical interventions that could cause patient to be offensive" It can be also written as- All the information were kept confidential <p>CONCLUSION</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In what way staff burden can be reduced? Does it have any impact on the quality of care provided in health sector? Justify 2. How is promotion of breast feeding and continuous/intermittent phototherapy relatable? 	

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	These is idea presented in the study is innovative. It is designed well. There are few corrections that need to be made in order to improve the liability of the study for other researchers. Please reframe the sentences with proper grammar and punctuations. The content to be revised has been highlighted in the manuscript.	
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jyoti Kumar
Department, University & Country	KLE Academy of Higher Education & Research College of Pharmacy, India