

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JPRI_77598  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Herbal approaches for Alzheimer disease: a review                |
| Type of the Article      | A review article   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | <p>Herbal medicines have been researched for a while, yet there are still ambiguous about their toxicity and usage for treatment. In this study, the promising effect on Alzheimer's disease treated by herb is certainly worthy of investigation, yet the manuscript is not clearly written. Also, the figures in this manuscript make the reader confused, for example, the author mentioned <i>Bacopa monniera</i> "root" but the figure showed only its flower and leaves.</p> <p>The author should add the chemical structure of all chemical constituents to the manuscript. Moreover, they should categorize the pharmacological activity of these herbs as a table in order to make it easier to understand.</p> <p>Several herbs are suggested as potential therapeutic agents but their targets are still unknown. In the conclusion section, I still can not find any relationship between a major component of herbs and their treatment for AD. The author should clarify and summarize this point in this section.</p> |   |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments      | <p>The manuscript contains a large amount of grammatical and syntax faults that make it very difficult for smooth reading. The author should have been more careful, such as having duplicate words "memory" in the keyword section.</p>   |   |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments    | <p>I am also concerned about the figures in this manuscript which is qualified enough or not?</p>  |   |

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b><i>Kowit Hengphasatporn</i></b>         |
| Department, University & Country | <b><i>University of Tsukuba, Japan</i></b> |