

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77593
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative physiochemical analysis of Kachi ghani, solvent oil and refined oil extracted from Brassica nigra
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> In abstract. The main components of the oils are unsaturated fatty acids. Why saturated fatty acid content is presented in the abstract? Why not unsaturated fatty acid? Which one more important for quality of the oil? For physicochemical analysis. How about iodine value (IV) for the oils? IV is important parameter for level of unsaturation of the oil. Based on Figure 1, the unsaturated fatty acid contents of the oils are very high. It is important to determine other physical properties of the oils including density and refractive index. In Table 1. The results indicate the acid value (AV) of kachi ghani, solvent oil and refined oil was 0.74 mg/g, 2.7 mg/g, 1.58 mg/g respectively (Table 1). What does it mean ? is there correlation with extraction processes ? For figure 2-4. The figure should be presented in better format. Chromatogram and Table should be separately presented. In Table 2. In general, the contents of unsaturated fatty acid (93.00, 93.26, and 96.22%) are higher than saturated fatty acid in all oils. Which one is batter for quality of oil from heath view? It is important to discuss this finding in this paper. 	
Minor REVISION comments	For minor revision comments, please kindly see the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	For optional comments, please kindly see the manuscript	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wayan Muderawan
Department, University & Country	Ganesha University of Education, Indonesia