

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77581
Title of the Manuscript:	Amalgamation of Nanotechnology with Plant Sciences
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Since the manuscript in a review article, the citation and quotation of references needs to be carefully interpreted. In the description the citation of references has been mentioned in numerical way, but at the end where those cited References are quoted, the bullet numbers are not put. Also, some of the references are not according standard APA or APS format. 2. Another major requirement for any scientific article is its presentation. N number of spelling mistakes, grammatical errors, lot of spacing issues between words and sentences. Overall, alignment has needs to be reworked including table headings. 3. Acronyms are not used in systematic manner. 4. In the abstract, acronym 'NPs' has been mentioned before showing it in parenthesis of its original term Nanoparticles. 5. Spelling of detrimental is written as detrimemental. 6. All the keywords has to be present in abstract, some are missing. 7. In the introduction, second paragraph is not justified 8. In the synthesis, spelling of mango peel is incorrect. Also the NPs synthesised from Banana peel extract, Egg shell, Grape waste not mentioned and no references provided. 9. In the classification part, the chemical names and chemical formulae of compounds has not been utilized in systematic format. 10. Spelling of therefore is written as Theerfore in TiO2 NPs section. 11. In the NPs useful effects on plants, particularly in photosynthesis, it has been mentioned as titanium oxide NPs, instead of Titanium dioxide (TiO2) 12. In NPs as bane, DNA is written as DNa. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Appreciate the selection of topic for review work. Nanotechnology and its application in the field of Plant science as whole is a broad field, which still need lot more data as said. Lot of information are collected and provided in distinct manner in the given manuscript with good flow. But, there have been lot more of work has been done using NPs in the field of agriculture, horticulture. Even literatures are available in the field of forestry, tissue culture, and floriculture. Could have collect more literature to make the manuscript even more solid.</p> <p>Note: All the major comments mentions are repairable deficiencies</p>	
Optional/General comments	Some of the important corrections which need to be taken care of have been highlighted in red color in the reviewed / attached manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manmohan M.S
Department, University & Country	Bangalore University, India