

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77568
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Traditional plant Azadiracta indica: Natural Source for Disease curability and Health Promotion
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>There are serious structural shortcomings in the article.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The study selection process should be explained in Method section. (In the systematic review and, if possible, in the meta-analysis, which studies, screening characteristics and inclusion criteria should be specified).2. In light of other evidence, a general interpretation of the results should be made and expectations from future studies should be pointed out in Conclusion section.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The article should be revised, especially in terms of grammar. Some typos are marked on the text. Tables and Figures should also be cited in the text. The text flow should be rearranged. For example:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Botanical characteristics of the plant and descriptions,2. Usage areas/usage patterns etc. in the literature,3. Like its chemical constituent	
Optional/General comments	<p>In the review study, sufficient literature information about "Azadirachta indica" was presented. The plant has been described in detail. However, there are serious deficiencies in the study about the rationale of the compilation, the chosen method, its contribution to science, and its contribution to future studies.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sefa Akbulut
Department, University & Country	Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey