

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77567
Title of the Manuscript:	A LITERAURE REVIEW ON URTICA DIOICA:AN ORDINARY CREATURE WITH EXTRAORDINARY FEATURES.
Type of the Article	Review Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. The English need improvement since there are few grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the words "which is" may be as "that is"; "as blood" as "as a blood"; "grows in" as "grows at"; "family" as "the family"; "Latin" as "the Latin"; "that means" as "which means"; "urere because" as "urere is because"; "stinging" as "the stinging"; "an herbaceous" as "a herbaceous"; "Sanskrit" as "the Sanskrit"; "which consists" as "that consists"; "on body" as "on the body"; "which comprises of" as "that comprises"; "Urticaceae" as "the Urticaceae"; "diuretic" as "the diuretic"; "as weight" as "as a weight"; "capability to" as "the capability to"; "which controls" as "that controls"; "and production" as "and the production"; "reduction" as "a reduction"; "of urinate" as "to urinating"; "significant anti-diabetic effect" as "a significant anti-diabetic effects"; "Most" as "The most"; "In case" as "In the case"; "the whole" as "whole"; "rhizome" as "the rhizome"; "Structure" as "The structure"; "protein" as "the protein"; "do have two hevein" as "does have two herein or with righ spelling"; "on second" as "on the second"; "Urticadioica have" as "Urticadioica has"; "which have" as "which has"; "can" as "that can"; "also" as "is also"; "which are one inches" as "that are one inch"; "Size" as "The size"; "Arthritis, in" as "on Arthritis,"; "deleterious effect" as "the deleterious effects"; "severity" as "the severity"; "reduction" as "a reduction"; "significant" as "a significant"; "of plant" as "of the plant"; "abdomen" as "the abdomen"; "positive" as "a positive"; "highest" as "the highest"; "of whole" as "of the whole"; "an herbal" as "a herbal"; "Wide" as "A wide"; "action are" as "action is"; "the deficiency" as "deficiency"; "consist of antioxidant" as "consists of the antioxidant"; "on skin" as "on the skin"; "burning" as "a burning"; "Solution" as "The solution"; "conventional" as "the conventional"; "use products" as "used products"; "personal" as "the personal". The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text. For example, the word "LITERAURE" may be as "LITERATURE"; "promote" as "promotes"; "weather" as "whether"; "other purpose" as "other purposes"; "when come" as "when coming"; "flower" as "flowers"; "part and" as "parts and"; "flavonoid and" as "flavonoids and"; "galactagogues for cow" as "galactagogue for cows"; "Magnoliopsia" as "Magnoliopsida"; "specie" as "species"; "heart shaped" as "heart-shaped"; "reddish brown" as "reddish-brown"; "greenish white" as "greenish-white"; "plant possess" as "plant possesses"; "Urticadioica plant" as "Urticadioica plants"; "that is" as "that as"; "As large" as "A large"; "chicken pox" as "chickenpox"; "As, this" as "As this"; "it showed" as "showed"; "hydro alcoholic" as "hydroalcoholic"; "fructose induced" as "fructose-induced"; "is off" as "is of"; "leaves constitutes" as "leaves constitute"; "cholorophyll" as "chlorophyll"; "long chain" as "long-chain"; "Refernces" as "References"; "analytic" as "analysis"; "trans neo-olivil" as "trans-neo-olivil"; "alots" as "a lots"; "time consuming" as "time-consuming"; "plant like" as "plants like"; "gives well" as "give well"; "stress induced" as "stress-induced"; "various system" as "various systems"; "Korani B. et. al." as "Korani et al."; "glucose level" as "glucose levels"; "study it" as "study, it"; "alloxan induced" as "alloxan-induced"; "U. dioica show" as "U. dioica shows"; "decreasing level" as "decreasing levels"; "ischemia – reperfusion" as "ischemia-reperfusion"; "plant that are" as "plants that are"; "aryl esterase" as "arylesterase"; "acid induced" as "acid-induced"; "Actually" as "Actually,"; "different kind" as "different kinds"; "of Modarresi" as "by Modarresi"; "yeast strain" as "yeast strains"; "Gram positive" as "Gram-positive"; "Gram negative" as "Gram-negative"; "ofDizaye" as "of Dizaye"; "immuno-modulatory" as "immunomodulatory"; "thosewho" as "those who"; "highest" as "the highest"; "85% cells" as "85% of cells"; "lethal disease and as" as "lethal diseases and"; "radical induced" as "radical-induced"; "Plant Based" as "Plant-Based"; "Super food" as "Superfood"; "above mentioned" as "above-mentioned"; "dosage form" as "dosage forms". The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. The databases or search engines used (like PubMed, ScienceDirect, Google scholar etc..) for the collection of this information with the keywords (in the text) used should be mentioned since</p>	

## Review Form 1.6

	<p>this is a review article.</p> <p>2. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, GC-MS, HPLC, BHP, STZ, MIC, etc.). Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words need to be abbreviated.</p> <p>3. The full form of the species should be given when the first time appears and followed by only the first letter of the genus in both the abstract and the remaining part of the manuscript (e.g., <i>Urtica dioica</i> when the first time appears and followed by <i>U. dioica</i>).</p> <p>4. The initial of the reference cited in the text should be removed and should be as per the author instruction of the journal (For example, Korani B. et. al.; Joshi B. et. al.).</p> <p>5. The superscript and subscript have to be given properly (For example, "CC14" the "4" should be subscripted, in reference). The plant name should be italic in references.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The authors have put effort to review the "A LITERATURE REVIEW ON URTICA DIOICA: AN ORDINARY CREATURE WITH EXTRAORDINARY FEATURES". The authors describe the review undertaken with this in an organized manner. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal and the minor shortcomings which need to be considered. Hence, the paper can be accepted after MAJOR REVISIONS are carried out.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>A. Vijaya Anand</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bharathiar University, India</b>