

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77560
Title of the Manuscript:	Coconut Water: A Review on its Health benefits, Pharmacological Properties, Traditional uses.
Type of the Article	good

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract-</b> could be modified as some of the beginning statement doesn't have clarity. And please do check for grammatical error too.</p> <p><b>Introduction-</b> end statement of introduction describing multiple uses of coconut water should be revised to give clarity.</p> <p>(The tall type cross-pollinates as it is highly cross-pollinated after the pollination occurs the nuts of the tree matures within 12 months.) <b>This statement should be revised.</b></p> <p><b>Isotonic benefits – the things mentioned in the paragraph doesn't have any clarity.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>INTRODUCTION-</b> Opening statement about coconut tree can be revised to give a good impact for readers.</p> <p>Anti- cancerous activity few more references could be added here.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The title and content of paper doesn't match as the content of paper is talking on general aspects of coconut water benefits, and very less detailing on traditional use if could be re titled it would be better.</p> <p>Overall sentence construction can be improved. It s a robust type paper.</p> <p>Would suggest for revision of whole manuscript by adding more references.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Divyaa Sreekumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Scms Institute Of Bioscience And Biotechonlogy Research And Development, India</b>