

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77521
Title of the Manuscript:	Maternal Serum 25-hydroxy Vitamin D Concentrations and Calcium Levels in Preeclamptic Women, Sindh, Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1.PET very important area of research since its associated with serious complication</p> <p>2. Abstract should be rewritten with more organized, clarifieied way, not native language.</p> <p>3.introduction many grammar mistakes, required to be more organized</p> <p>4. type of study should be written in the setting, hospital detailed information it was tertiary center not since there was alot off cases in this period of study to be more clear.</p> <p>5. about the sample size the patients were in or out patients, sever pet or mild since its effect the result and inorder to be more meticulous in data analysis, standard level of serum calcium and vit D shuld be written</p> <p>6. The result the number in table 1 total 200 ?while sample size 150!</p> <p>7. the discussion the pargraph (⁵Fondjo LA et al.,¹⁶found that pre-eclampsia is commonly occurredin low gestational age group as compared to normotensive group with hifher gestational age with (p <0.001). you mean age of women or week of gestation its should be clarified</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	the article had a lot of grammar mistakes,writtien in weak way	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shatha Sami Hussein
Department, University & Country	Al Mustansiriyah University, Iraq