

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77515
Title of the Manuscript:	A Persistence Search for the Most Appropriate Process of PET Recycling: A Review Paper
Type of the Article	<u>Review article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Keywords need to be corrected. Immediately after the abstract, about 5-8 keywords should be given. It is desirable that these words do not repeat the words used in the title of the article. In the future, the correct choice of keywords has an impact on the formation of the rating of the article and, accordingly, this has an impact on the overall rating of the journal. These keywords will be used for indexing.</p> <p>2. Despite the fact that the article is a review, in the text of the article I would like to see information about the research methodology. The authors used only a bibliosemantic method, content analysis or possibly used personal experience. It would be necessary to clarify here how the received survey information is interpreted. What are the criteria for evaluating the information received and how it is analyzed.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Today, the disposal of polymer waste has become a major environmental problem in all parts of the world. In particular, the accumulation of polyethyleneterephthalate wastes from single-use bottles for drinking water poses a serious threat to the environment. There are several basic ways of recycling such wastes: reuse, mechanical processing, chemical processing and incineration for electricity generation. Among various methods available for PET recycling, the most environmentally friendly method considered is the enzymatic one. Research for finding the most potential biological treatment strategy for recycling purpose is not moving in one direction.</p> <p>The manuscript submitted for review contains a critical analysis of the most promising methods and approaches to the problem of PET Recycling.</p> <p>The article is a topical research and has scientific value and practical interest. The article can be published after minimal corrections. Overall Review Result: <u>Minor Revision: (> 8-9)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Velychkovych Andrii
Department, University & Country	Ivano-Frankivsk National Technical University of Oil and Gas, Ukraine