

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77513
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW ON TARGETED DRUG DELIVERY IN PANCREATIC CANCER
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There isn't any novelty for the title. Many articles on this topic have been published in full</li> <li>○ The manuscript writing is very poor and needs revision completely for grammatical points as well.</li> <li>○ Most of the paper are example in text !!</li> <li>○ Examples should be categorized in tables</li> <li>● Due to the fact that this article is a review, it does not have any pictures or tables</li> <li>● As the review articles have some tables and images but this paper doesn't have.</li> </ul> <p>The final decision is about printing with the editor, but since the email emphasizes that it should not be rejected, I leave the decision to the editor. In my opinion, it does not meet the criteria of a review article and is not worth printing.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The final decision about accepting depends with the editor, but since the email emphasizes that it should not be rejected, I leave the decision to the editor. In my opinion, it does not meet the criteria of a review article and is not worth printing.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maryam Darvish
Department, University & Country	Arak University of Medical Sciences, Iran