

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77504
Title of the Manuscript:	CONVENTIONAL AND HERBAL APPROACH IN MANAGEMENT OF MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS – AN OVERVIEW
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Some clinical trials may be encouraged to be add to the manuscript</p> <p>The plant mentioned are not specified for MS further studies are needed so it might be better cocernec as beneficial adjuvents or nutraceutical for MS</p>	The plant mentioned are not specified for MS further studies are needed so it might be better to say it as adjuvents or nutraceutical helping MS
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Some words are written with capital letter have to be corrected all over the manuscript</p> <p>No need to repeat the item like name of drug or active constituent Use table (nnumber) continue</p> <p>Table 2 the drugs have to be arranged as group first and second line and alphabetically</p> <p>Table 3 please arrange the plant alphabetically</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is a short review , that points to a serious health problem that need enormous studies	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sahar El Souda
Department, University & Country	National Research Center, Egypt