

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77500
Title of the Manuscript:	OBESITY MANAGEMENT VIA HERBAL APPROACH— A REVIEW
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p><i>I believe that the chosen topic is very interesting and scientifically supported results could help manage the obesity epidemic.</i></p> <p><i>Nevertheless, I would like to highlight some important shortcomings of the article:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>1. The Abstract should briefly present the aim and purpose of the research, methods used, results and conclusion.</i> <i>2. The chapter introduction should include brief, easy to comprehend introduction to the field of the obesity and herbal drugs therapy and the contemporary state of knowledge or research (e.g. state of the art) (with references to all relevant scientific-research literature).</i> <i>3. In the Table 2 the sources and authorship of images should be provided.</i> <i>4. In the Table 2: it would make sense to cite more than just one study per plant species, so that mechanism to overcome obesity can be proven. Several different studies should be cited for one plant species.</i> <i>5. Discussion and interpretation of results is missing</i> <i>6. I also suggest that you check the article linguistically</i> <p><i>Based on the above comments I think that the article in current stage do not meet the criteria for a published scientific work. But I would like to encourage the authors to take note of the comments and to improve and resubmit the article.</i></p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Urška Rozman
Department, University & Country	University of Maribor, Slovenia