

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77499
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Phytoconstituents of Brahmi and their Relation to Improve Nervous System
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<i>1. Centella asiatica is different herb from Bacopa monnieri. With this regard the section number 2.2 has to be revised for the statement regarding wound healing properties.</i>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Including the details on Availability of <i>Bacopa monnieri</i> at Asian Continent and India may add points2. Construction of words in the article can be revised once.	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Section number 2.4 is got repeated.2. Could have added some more points on benefits of <i>Bacopa monnieri</i>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suresh kumar. J
Department, University & Country	Saintgits College of Engineering, India