

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77494
Title of the Manuscript:	Transfiguring Healthcare: Three-Dimensional Printing in Pharmaceutical Sciences; Trends During Covid-19: A Review
Type of the Article	MiniReview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What do the authors mean when they say "To be able to personally use the 3D printer at home, the patient needs to be given a special training. Even so, he/she might not be able to identify and correct the deformities in the design itself which could highly likely alter the bioavailability and other aspects of drug.[30]". If this is what they have understood of "personalised medicine" they are most likely on the wrong track. 2. It was not possible to relate the main objective of the manuscript to COVID-pandemic. Furthermore; the authors seem to focused on not one main topic but several unrelated topics. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Abbreviations should be checked to consistent in the text: e.g. 3D printing or 3DP? What is 3DBP? 2) References in the text should be revised: e.g. [8,9]. 3) Figure 1A should be revised for typo mistakes 4) Table 1 should be revised for typo mistakes 5) In Figure 4, the arrows seem to be displaced in the text. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leyla Didem Kozaci
Department, University & Country	Ankara Yildirim Beyazit University, Turkey