

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77491
Title of the Manuscript:	Keratinophilic Fungi in Urban waste and Cattle field soil of Kanpur, India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>I would recommend a few mentions related to the characteristics of <i>Chrysosporium</i> colonies- averse, reverse, micellar appearance, spores</p> <p>- some notions would be necessary for the reproduction of the method (personally I would be interested in the spread of the genus <i>Chrysosporium</i> in the soil in Romania</p> <p>- mention in the bibliography of a reference work / mycology site that refers to the morphological characteristics of the identified genera</p> <p>☑ ☑</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Băcescu Bogdan</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universitatea Spiru Haret București, Romania</i>