

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77479
Title of the Manuscript:	Ayurveda system of medicine and standardization
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title is good.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Abstract section writings are inappropriate. I suggest rewriting the abstract by adding a focus point. - State the objective of the study clearly in the abstract section. - Many sentences are grammatically problematic. - The abstract section can be improved by mentioning the significant findings. - Delete the redundant words throughout the whole manuscript. - Need to arrange the introduction section logically. - The introduction section is inapplicable. Try to include the recent literature based on folklore herbal plants. - References in the hair removal processes section is inappropriate. - APPROACHES TO STANDARDIZATION: This section written without appropriate references. Need a logical flow of the writings with sufficient references—the number of references used in materials and methods section also too few. - OUTCOME OF THE STANDARDIZATION EFFORTS: This section is poorly written. It looks like preliminary writings. - The writing of Determination of Foreign Matter is also flawed. Need a logical flow of the writings. - Novelty of the author's work and recommendation should be added by the author in the conclusion section. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Spacing, punctuation marks, grammar, and spelling errors should be reviewed thoroughly. I found so many typos throughout the manuscript.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - English is modest. The authors need to develop their writing style. The whole manuscript needs to be checked by native English speakers. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>Although the article has scientific rigor, several major flows need to be improved before publication.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Talha Bin Emran
Department, University & Country	BGC Trust University Bangladesh, Bangladesh