

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77414
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF COVID-19 ON CARDIOVASCULAR PATIENTS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>According to general guideline for authors:            "Systematic Reviews should usually be based on medical interventions or animal model studies. We recommend that authors consult the PRISMA guidelines for reporting in Systematic Reviews. Systematic Reviews should deal with a clearly formulated question and use systematic and explicit methods to identify, select, and critically assess the relevant research. We advise a length of 5000-9000 words, (including 50-150 references plus 3-5 figures and/or tables (if required))." The authors should revise the manuscript accordingly.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The title should be changed, example: "Effects of Covid-19 on patients with cardiovascular diseases" ...</li> <li>- The quality of English throughout the manuscript, needs significant improvement before further consideration for publication.</li> <li>- Authors have to pay attention to the spacing, parentheses, period, comma, upper case, hierarchical numbering.</li> <li>- The manuscript is poorly written, it contains numerous grammatical errors and typos.</li> <li>- The scientific quality of the paper is actually very poor.</li> <li>- The discussion needs significant improvement</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The abbreviation "SARS" is repeated two times in the fourth line of the introduction</li> <li>- The list of references must be formatted correctly and in accordance with the journal's guidelines</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Youssef Mir</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>New University Campus Agadir, Morocco</i></b>