

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77387
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, attitude, and practice of dental implants among dental post graduates and practitioners in wardha district: A cross sectional study.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>INTRODUCTION:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Historical background of implants should be added 2. Sentence 11th : Compare dental..... (word COMPARE seems to be inappropriate) <p>DISCUSSION:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This is pre-protocol article, even though it needs reframing with appropriate tense. 2. 4th para of discussion; 3rd sentence: The gap.....IBPs & NON practitioners..... , Needs further clarification, as the non practitioners otherwise also being away from clinical practice, will not contribute for implant procedures.(Do not match with objectives) 3. The cost factor is a big concern to common public, how this study is going to justify for this. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>OBJECTIVES:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 2ND point; attitude of dental implants.....(Needs reframing, as this article is related to attitude of practitioners, instead of attitude of implants) <p>METHODOLOGY:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 4TH Sentence seems to be incomplete. 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>KEY WORDS:</p> <p>Numbers of key words should be checked as per guidelines of journal.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashok vikey
Department, University & Country	Madhya Pradesh Medical Science University, India