

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77347
Title of the Manuscript:	THEOPHYLLINE DERIVATIVES' IN-VIVO ANALGESIC AND ANTI-INFLAMMATORY ACTIVITIES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>In this research, the analgesic and anti-inflammatory activities of new synthetic derivatives of theophylline were studied. I consider the topic relevant and innovative, given the need to search for alternatives to conventional treatments for asthma and COPD the authors show solid and well-structured evidence of the objectives set out in the research, as well as the chemical schemes of the compounds derived from theophylline. I have no problem recommending the manuscript for publication in the journal, these recommendations are suggested.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I want to know if the authors conducted in vitro tests, to quantify the oxidative and nitrosative activity of these compounds. • I suggest further explaining the anti-inflammatory mechanism of controls such as ibuprofen since it was used as a control • Although the use of tables is efficient, I would recommend the use of graphs to make a greater emphasis on the differences. 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uziel Castillo Velazquez
Department, University & Country	School Of Veterinary Medicine and Zootechnics, México