

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77346
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiology, Evaluation and Management of Wilson Disease; Review Article
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The review article submitted for publication has some serious issues and therefore, in current form it is not possible to consider for publication even in a very low impact journal. The major concern points are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. While we appreciate review article to be published regularly, however, in order to write a review, the author should have enough background knowledge and research experience in the same field, which is unfortunately, lacking in the present manuscript. 2. No new information is added in the review and most of the citations are also from very old research articles. 3. In addition, and more importantly, the authors having expertise in the field should present their own idea in the review article that can be of help to the people in the field, which is also lacking in the current manuscript. 4. The review looks more like a chapter of a basic concept book instead of a review article. 5. Therefore, I regret to inform that in present form the article cannot be considered for publication. 6. It can only be reconsidered, if the authors rewrite the complete article in their own words, keeping in mind background knowledge and recent literature. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zia ur Rehman
Department, University & Country	Kohat University of Science and Technology, Pakistan