

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77336
Title of the Manuscript:	MODERN ECONOMIC REALITIES, CORONAVIRUS, VACCINATION: INTERRELATION AND CHALLENGES TO THE FUTURE
Type of the Article	Review
Date of submission	23 November 2021

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. <b>A.</b> There are numerous "<b>Grammatical</b>" mistakes, so, corrections and language editing are obligatory (<b>Major</b>).</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>2. <b>A.</b> Regards the "<b>Title</b>"; capitalization of all letters in the title is unsuitable, please, change it. (<b>Minor</b>).  <b>1. A.</b>  <b>2. B.</b> Please, the "<b>Title</b>" is inaccurate. It is more suitable to change the "<b>Title</b>" to "Contemporary interrelation between economic realities versus coronavirus and vaccination; The future challenges". (<b>Minor</b>).  <b>3. A.</b> No need for the following sentence in the "<b>Abstract</b>"; "Differentiation of available vaccines against COVID-19... as a whole" is not related to the conclusion and improbable. Please, delete it (<b>Minor</b>).  <b>4. A.</b> The following sentence in the "<b>Materials and methods</b>"; "In the process of writing this study, a voluminous array of literature on the problem under consideration was analyzed, and analytical and comparative methods were also applied" is incorrect and bad-written. How is the author (s) write "voluminous array of literature" but the number of "<b>References</b>" regarding "Review article" is a few?!!! Please, remove "voluminous array of literature" and correct it". (<b>Minor</b>).  <b>5. A.</b> Regrettably, in the "<b>Discussion</b>" the author (s) took thorough in writing in vaccination and neglects the other issues of the title. Please, make a balance for all issues.  <b>6. A.</b> The following sentence in the "<b>Conclusion</b>"; "And if specialists are able to develop a program to ... anti-vaccinators will noticeably thin." is too long and bad-written. Please, reconstruct it even into more than one sentence or summarize it. (<b>Minor</b>).  <b>7. C.</b> Number of "<b>References</b>" regarding "Review article" is a few. Increasing the number according to the Journal guidelines is needed. (<b>Minor</b>).</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Yasser Mohammed Hassanain Elsayed</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Egypt</i></b>