

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77303
Title of the Manuscript:	Response Surface Methodology Driven Systematic Development of a Novel RP-UPLC Method for The Quantification of Aliskiren: A Renin Inhibitor
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  The paper as whole is a good addition to the existing knowledge but the English need improvement. Certain queries are raised for clarity and improvement. Please follow the suggestions.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The structure provided does not provide the stereospecificity. (2S,4S,5S,7S)-5-Amino-N-(2-cabamoyl)-2-methyl proyl-4-hydroxy-3-(3-methoxypropyl) benzoyl)-8-methyl nonamide. (See Chem ID Plus). Better follow the structure from Chem ID plus..</li><li>2. The multilinear regression equation (Box-Behnken model) with constants need to be provided, with a mention of the correction, if any, for neglecting any part of the equation in view of insignificance. I looked for it and could not find it.</li><li>3. The predicted and the experimental values with deviation are provided as figures but better be provided in the table.</li><li>4. Some more minor corrections indicated in the text of the manuscript for improvement.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Udaya Sankar Kadimi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Council Of Scientific And Industrial Research–Central Food Technological Research Institute, India</b>